Operating Systems

INF-333

Burak Arslan
ext-inf333@burakarslan.com

Galatasaray Üniversitesi

Lecture VII
2024-03-27

Course website

burakarslan.com/inf333

Based On

cs111.stanford.edu

cs212.stanford.edu

OSC-10 Slides

Want processes to co-exist



Consider multiprogramming on physical memory

- What happens if pintos needs to expand?
- If emacs needs more memory than is on the machine?
- If pintos has an error and writes to address `0x7100`?
- When does gcc have to know it will run at `0x4000`?
- What if emacs isn't using its memory?

Issues in sharing physical memory

- Protection
  - A bug in one process can corrupt memory in another
  - Must somehow prevent process *A* from trashing *B*'s memory
  - Also prevent *A* from even observing *B*'s memory (ssh-agent)
- Transparency
  - A process shouldn't require particular physical memory bits
  - Yet processes often require large amounts of contiguous memory (for stack, large data structures, etc.)
- Resource exhaustion
  - Programmers typically assume machine has "enough" memory
  - Sum of sizes of all processes often greater than physical memory

Virtual Memory

Chapter I

Virtual Memory Goals



- Give each program its own *virtual* address space
  - At runtime, *Memory-Management Unit* relocates each load/store
  - Application doesn't see *physical* memory addresses
- Also enforce protection
  - Prevent one app from messing with another's memory
- And allow programs to see more memory than exists
  - Somehow relocate some memory accesses to disk

Virtual Memory Goals



- Give each program its own *virtual* address space
  - At runtime, *Memory-Management Unit* relocates each load/store
  - Application doesn't see *physical* memory addresses
- Also enforce protection
  - Prevent one app from messing with another's memory
- And allow programs to see more memory than exists
  - Somehow relocate some memory accesses to disk

Virtual memory advantages

Can re-locate program while running

- Run partially in memory, partially on disk

Virtual memory advantages

Most of a process's memory may be idle (80/20 rule).



- Write idle parts to disk until needed
- Let other processes use memory of idle part
- Like CPU virtualization: when process not using CPU, switch
  (Not using a memory region? give it to another process)

Virtual memory advantages

Most of a process's memory may be idle (80/20 rule).



Challenge: VM = extra layer, could be slow

Idea 1: no hardware, load-time linking



Linker patches addresses of symbols like `printf`

- Idea: link when process executed, not at compile time
  - Already have PIE (position-independent executable) for security
  - Determine where process will reside in memory at launch
  - Adjust all references within program (using addition)

Problems?

Idea 1: no hardware, load-time linking



Problems:

- How to enforce protection?
- How to move once already in memory? (consider data pointers)
- What if no contiguous free region fits program?

Idea 2: base + bound register



- Two special privileged registers: base and bound
- On each load/store/jump:
  - Physical address = virtual address + base
  - Check $0 \leq$ virtual address $<$ bound, else trap to kernel

Problems?

Idea 2: base + bound register



Problem: How to move process in memory?

- Change base register

Idea 2: base + bound register



Problem: What happens on context switch?

- Kernel must re-load base and bound registers

Idea 2: base + bound register



Problem: How to move/grow process?

- There is no easy way ¯_(ツ)_/¯

Virtual Memory Definitions

- Programs load/store to virtual addresses
- Actual memory uses physical addresses
- VirtMem Hardware is Memory Management Unit (MMU)



- Usually part of CPU core (one address space per hyperthread)
- Configured through privileged instructions (e.g., load bound reg)
- Translates from virtual to physical addresses
- Gives per-process view of memory called address space

Virtual Memory Definitions

- Programs load/store to virtual addresses
- Actual memory uses physical addresses
- VirtMem Hardware is Memory Management Unit (MMU)



- Usually part of CPU core (one address space per hyperthread)
- Configured through privileged instructions (e.g., load bound reg)
- Translates from virtual to physical addresses
- Gives per-process view of memory called address space

VirtMem trade-offs vs Base+bound

Advantages:

- Cheap in terms of hardware: only two registers
- Cheap in terms of cycles: do add and compare in parallel

VirtMem trade-offs vs Base+bound

Disadvantages:

- Growing a process is expensive or impossible
- No way to share code or data (E.g., two copies of bochs, both running pintos)

One solution: Multiple segments

- E.g., separate code, stack, data segments
- Possibly multiple data segments



Segmentation



- Let processes have many base/bound regs
  - Address space built from many segments
  - Can share/protect memory at segment granularity
- 👎 Must specify segment as part of virtual address

Segmentation mechanics



- Each process has a segment table
- Each VA indicates a segment and offset:
  - Top bits of addr select segment, low bits select offset (PDP-10)
  - Or segment selected by instruction or operand
    (means you need wider "far" pointers to specify segment)

Segmentation example

Seg	base	bounds	rw
0	0x4000	0x6ff	10
1	0x0000	0x4ff	11
2	0x3000	0xfff	11
3			00



- 2-bit segment number (1st digit), 12 bit offset (last 3)
  - Where is 0x0240? 0x1108? 0x265c? 0x3002? 0x1600?

Segmentation trade-offs

Advantages

- Multiple segments per process
- Allows sharing! (how?)
- Don't need entire process in memory



Segmentation trade-offs

Disadvantages:

- Requires translation hardware, which could limit performance
- Segments not completely transparent to program (e.g., default segment faster or uses shorter instruction)
- *n* byte segment needs *n contiguous* bytes of physical memory
- Makes *fragmentation* a real problem.

Fragmentation

Fragmentation $\Longrightarrow$ Inability to use free memory. Over time:

- Variable-sized pieces = many small holes (external fragmentation)
- Fixed-sized pieces = no external holes, but force internal waste (internal fragmentation)



Alternatives to hardware MMU

Language-level protection (JavaScript)

- Single address space for different modules
- Language enforces isolation
- Singularity OS does this with C# [Hunt]

Alternatives to hardware MMU

Software fault isolation

- Instrument compiler output
- Checks before every store operation prevents modules from trashing each other
- Google's now deprecated Native Client does this for x86 [Yee]
- Easier to do for virtual architecture, e.g., Wasm
- Works really well on ARM64 [Yedidia'24]

Paging

- Divide memory up into small, equal-size *pages*
- Map virtual pages to physical pages
  - Each process has separate mapping
- Allow OS to gain control on certain operations
  - Read-only pages trap to OS on write
  - Invalid pages trap to OS on read or write
  - OS can change mapping and resume application
- Other features sometimes found:
  - Hardware can set "accessed" and "dirty" bits
  - Control page execute permission (`+x`) separately from read/write (`+rw`)
  - Control caching or memory consistency of page

Paging trade-offs



- Eliminates external fragmentation
- Simplifies allocation, free, and backing storage (swap)
- Average internal fragmentation of .5 pages per "segment"

Simplified allocation



- Allocate any physical page to any process
- Can store idle virtual pages on disk

Paging data structures



Pages are fixed size, e.g., 4 KiB

- Least significant 12 ($\log_2$ 4 Ki) bits of address are *page offset*
- Most significant bits are *page number*

Paging data structures



Each process has a *page table*

- Maps *virtual page numbers* (VPNs) to *physical page numbers* (PPNs)
- Also includes bits for protection, validity, etc.

Paging data structures



On memory access:

- Translate VPN to PPN, then add offset

Example: Paging on PDP-11

64 KiB virtual memory, 8 KiB pages

- Separate address space for instructions & data
- I.e., can't read your own instructions with a load

Entire page table stored in registers

- 8 Instruction page translation registers
- 8 Data page translations

Swap 16 machine registers on each context switch

x86 Paging

Paging enabled by bits in a control register (`%cr0`)

- Only privileged OS code can manipulate control registers

Normally 4 KiB pages:

- `%cr3`: points to physical address of 4 KiB page directory
  - See `pagedir_activate` in Pintos
- Page directory: 1024 PDEs (page directory entries)
  - Each contains physical address of a page table
- Page table: 1024 PTEs (page table entries)
  - Each contains physical address of virtual 4K page
  - Page table covers 4 MiB of Virtual mem

x86 Paging

See old intel manual for simplest explanation:

- Also volume 2 of AMD64 Architecture docs
- Also volume 3A of latest intel 64 architecture manual

x86 page translation



*32 bits aligned onto a 4-KByte boundary

x86 page directory entry



x86 page table entry



x86 hardware segmentation I

x86 architecture *also* supports segmentation

- Segment register base + pointer val = *linear address*
- Page translation happens on linear addresses

Two levels of protection and translation check

- Segmentation model has four privilege levels (CPL 0–3)
- Paging only has two, so 0 = kernel, 1,2=supervisor, 3 = user

x86 hardware segmentation II

Why do you want *both* paging and segmentation?
Short answer: You don't — just adds overhead.

- Most OSes use "flat mode" — set base = 0, bounds = `0xffffffff` in all segment registers, then forget about it
- x86_64 architecture removes much segmentation support

Long answer: Has some fringe/incidental uses

- Keep pointer to thread-local storage w/o wasting normal register
- 32-bit VMware runs guest OS in CPL 1 to trap stack faults
- OpenBSD used CS limit for W$\wedge$X when no PTE NX bit

Making paging fast I

x86 PTs require 3 memory references per load/store

- Look up page table address in page directory
- Look up physical page number (PPN) in page table
- Actually access physical page corresponding to virtual address

Making paging fast II

For speed, CPU caches recently used translations

- Called a *translation lookaside buffer* or TLB
- Typical: 64-2k entries, 4-way to fully associative, 95% hit rate
- Modern CPUs add second-level TLB with ∼1,024+ entries; often separate instruction and data TLBs
- Each TLB entry maps a VPN → PPN + protection information

Making paging fast III

On each memory reference

- Check TLB, if entry present get physical address fast
- If not, walk page tables, insert in TLB for next time (Must evict some entry)

TLB details I

TLB operates at CPU pipeline speed $\Longrightarrow$ small, fast

TLB details II

Complication: what to do when switching address space?

- Flush TLB on context switch (e.g., old x86)
- Tag each entry with associated process's ID (e.g., MIPS)
- In general, OS must manually keep TLB valid
  - Changing page table in memory won't affect cached TLB entry

TLB details III

E.g., on x86 must use *invlpg* instruction

- Invalidates a page translation in TLB
- Note: very expensive instruction (100–200 cycles)
- Must execute after changing a possibly used page table entry
- Otherwise, hardware will miss page table change

More Complex on a multiprocessor (TLB shootdown)

- Requires sending an interprocessor interrupt (IPI)
- Remote processor must execute `invlpg` instruction

x86 Paging Extensions I

PSE: Page size extensions

- Setting bit 7 in PDE makes a 4 MiB translation (no PT)

x86 Paging Extensions II

PAE: Page address extensions

- Newer 64-bit PTE format allows 36+ bits of physical address
- Page tables, directories have only 512 entries
- Use 4-entry Page-Directory-Pointer Table to regain 2 lost bits
- PDE bit 7 allows 2 MiB translation

x86 Paging Extensions III

Long mode PAE (x86-64)

- In Long mode, pointers are 64-bits
- Extends PAE to map 48 bits of virtual address (next slide)
- Why are aren't all 64 bits of VA usable?

x86 long mode paging



Where does the OS live? I

In its own address space?

- Can't do this on most hardware (e.g., syscall instruction won't switch address spaces)
- Also would make it harder to parse syscall arguments passed as pointers

Where does the OS live? II

So in the same address space as process

- Use protection bits to prohibit user code from writing kernel

Typically all kernel code, most data at same VA in every addrspace

- On x86, must manually set up page tables for this
- Usually just map kernel in contiguous virtual memory when boot loader puts kernel into contiguous physical memory
- Some hardware puts physical memory (kernel-only) somewhere in virtual address space
- Typically kernel goes in high memory; with signed numbers, can mean small negative addresses (small linker relocations)

Pintos memory layout



Very different MMU: MIPS

- Hardware checks TLB on application load/store
  - References to addresses not in TLB trap to kernel
- Each TLB entry has the following fields:
  Virtual page, Pid, Page frame, NC, D, V, Global
- Kernel itself unpaged
  - All of physical memory contiguously mapped in high VM
    (hardwired in CPU, not just by convention as with Pintos)
  - Kernel uses these pseudo-physical addresses
- User TLB fault hander very efficient
  - Two hardware registers reserved for it
  - utlb miss handler can itself fault—allow paged page tables
- OS is free to choose page table format!

Example: Paging to disk

`gcc` needs a new page of memory, so OS reclaims one from `emacs`:

- If page is *clean* (i.e., also stored on disk):
  - E.g., page of text from emacs binary on disk
  - Can always re-read same page from binary
  - So okay to discard contents now & give page to `gcc`
- If page is *dirty* (meaning memory is only copy)
  - Must write page to disk first before giving to `gcc`
- Either way:
  - Mark page invalid in `emacs`
  - `emacs` will **fault** on next access to virtual page
- On fault, OS reads page data back from disk into new page, maps new page into `emacs`, resumes executing

Paging in day-to-day use

- Demand paging
- Growing the stack
- BSS page allocation
- Shared text
- Shared libraries
- Shared memory
- Copy-on-write (`fork`, `mmap`, etc.)
- Q: Which pages should have global bit set on x86?