Compilers INF-400

Burak Arslan ext-inf400@burakarslan.com

Galatasaray Üniversitesi

Lecture II 2023-10-12

Course website

burakarslan.com/inf400

Some criteria give way to precise classification.

Others draw fuzzier borders.

And some languages are just multi-paradigm

Programming languages are **tools** used by **engineers**.

- ... and just like any tool;
 - ▶ They aim to serve their purpose in the **most ergonomic** way.
 - ▶ It's always possible to make improvements, but the real trick is to be **good enough**.
 - ▶ Pain increases as you stray further from the intended purpose.

... and just like any **engineering** tool;

They either cut costs or create value

... and just like **engineers**;

No single approach is objectively better than another

... and just like **engineers**;

No single approach is objectively better than another

... as long as goals are met!

But we still can evaluate software quality based on some criteria:

- ► Abstractions (how leaky?)
- ► Code reuse (how DRY? too general?)
- ► SOLID
- ► CMMI

Programming languages are very costly to develop:

- Kickass tooling (portable compiler/interpreter, editor, debugger, etc)
- ► Infrastructure (Package repo, CI, Releases)
- Evangelism/Outreach
- Trademarks, licenses, advertising

Programming languages are **very** costly to develop:

- Kickass tooling (portable compiler/interpreter, editor, debugger, etc)
- ► Infrastructure (Package repo, CI, Releases)
- Evangelism/Outreach
- Trademarks, licenses, advertising

except Javascript!

Programming languages are costly to adopt as well:

- ► Training costs
- Employee turnover
- Interfacing costs
- Rewriting costs

Yet if you find a niche and serve it well,

you can give way to enormous **cost reductions**.

Yet if you find a niche and serve it well,

you can give way to enormous **cost reductions**.

... and you can have that small corner of the universe to yourself.

Some criteria give way to precise classification.

Others draw fuzzier borders.

And some languages are just multi-paradigm

Imperative vs Declarative

Declarative The desired program output is described as a set of constraints

Imperative The desired program output is described as a sequence of instructions

Imperative vs Declarative

Structured Query Language (SQL)

Imperative or Declarative?

Imperative vs Declarative

Structured Query Language (SQL)

Declarative

Imperative vs Declarative

SELECT * FROM employees WHERE building='FIT';

Imperative vs Declarative

UPDATE employees SET building=NULL

WHERE building='FIT';

Imperative vs Declarative

Imperative vs Declarative

- ► SQL specification says nothing about implementation
- ► Compiled to a sequence of actions called the Query Plan
- eg. SQLite's query plan is interpreted by its internal VM (the Bytecode Engine)

Imperative vs Declarative

HyperText Markup Language (HTML)

Imperative or Declarative?

Imperative vs Declarative

HyperText Markup Language (HTML)

Declarative

Imperative vs Declarative

Cascading Style Sheets (CSS)

Imperative or Declarative?

Imperative vs Declarative

Cascading Style Sheets (CSS)

Declarative

Imperative vs Declarative

Qt Designer's .ui files (XML-Based)

Imperative or Declarative?

Imperative vs Declarative

Qt Designer's .ui files (XML-Based)

Declarative

Imperative vs Declarative

Qt Designer's .ui files (XML-Based)

Declarative

Compiled to C++ header files

Imperative vs Declarative

C++

Imperative or Declarative?

Imperative vs Declarative

C++

Imperative

Imperative vs Declarative

x64

Imperative or Declarative?

Imperative vs Declarative

x64

Imperative

Imperative vs Declarative

x64

Imperative

What does this tell you?

Strong vs Weak Typing

A distinction with a fuzzier border compared to others

Strong vs Weak Typing

Discuss the following C fragment:

```
int a = 0; /* ok */
a = "string"; /* ?? */
```

Strong vs Weak Typing

Discuss the following C fragment:

```
int *a = NULL; /* ok */
a = "string"; /* ?? */
```

Strong vs Weak Typing

Discuss the following C++ fragment:

```
SomeClass a = 0; /* ok */
a = "string"; /* ?? */
```

Strong vs Weak Typing

Weak typing

When the **memory layout** of a variable can be mutated **implicitly**

Static vs Dynamic Typing

Discuss the following C++ fragment:

```
auto a = 0;
std::vector v{1,2,3};
```

What are the types of a and v?

Static vs Dynamic Typing

Static typing

When the **type** of a variable can be known at **compile-time**.

Functional vs Procedural

- ► Pure functions symbolize values
- Statements modify program state
- ► C++ is multi-paradigm

OOP in C++ has 3 pillars:

- Encapsulation
- ► Inheritance
- Polymorphism

C++ Recap OOP - Encapsulation

Objects: When data comes alive

► Public interface, private implementation

C++ Recap OOP - Encapsulation

Objects: When data comes alive

- ▶ Public interface, private implementation
- ► Accessors: Used to ask questions to an object

C++ Recap OOP - Encapsulation

Objects: When data comes alive

- ▶ Public interface, private implementation
- Accessors: Used to ask questions to an object
- ► Method calls (C++) vs Message Passing (Smalltalk)

OOP - Encapsulation

```
C++ struct vs class:
struct S {
  int a; // public
};
class C {
  int a; // private
};
```

OOP - Inheritance / Polymorphism

Static dispatch: the usual way

```
struct A {
    auto who() { return "A"; }
    auto greet() { return fmt::format("Hello, I am {}", who()); }
};

struct B: public A {
    auto who() { return "B"; }
};

int main() {
    A *a = new A();
    printf("%s\n", a->who());
}
```

OOP - Inheritance / Polymorphism

Static dispatch: the usual way

```
struct A {
    auto who() { return "A"; }
    auto greet() { return fmt::format("Hello, I am {}", who()); }
};

struct B: public A {
    auto who() { return "B"; }
};

int main() {
    A *a = new B();
    printf("%s\n", a->who());
}
```

OOP - Inheritance / Polymorphism

Static dispatch: the templates way

```
struct A {
    auto who() { return "A": }
};
struct B {
    auto who() { return "B": }
template <typename T>
auto greet(const T &t) {
    return fmt::format("Hello, this is {}", who());
int main() {
  auto v = new A();
 printf("%s\n", greet(*v));
  . . .
```

OOP - Inheritance / Polymorphism

Static dispatch: the templates way

```
struct A {
    auto who() { return "A": }
};
struct B {
    auto who() { return "B": }
template <typename T>
auto greet(const T &t) {
    return fmt::format("Hello, this is {}", who());
int main() {
  auto v = new B();
 printf("%s\n", greet(*v));
  . . .
```

OOP - Inheritance / Polymorphism

Dynamic dispatch

```
struct A {
    virtual auto who() { return "A"; }
    auto greet() { return fmt::format("Hello, I am {}", who()); }
};

struct B: public A {
    virtual auto who() override { return "B"; }
};

int main() {
    A *a = new A();
    printf("%s\n", a->who());
}
```

OOP - Inheritance / Polymorphism

Dynamic dispatch

```
struct A {
    virtual auto who() { return "A"; }
    auto greet() { return fmt::format("Hello, I am {}", who()); }
};

struct B: public A {
    virtual auto who() override { return "B"; }
};

int main() {
    A *a = new B();
    printf("%s\n", a->who());
}
```

Stack vs Heap

Consider the following C++ fragment:

```
int32_t *f(int32_t i) {
    int32_t *r = new int32_t(i);
    return r;
}
int main() {
    auto i = f(50);
    printf("%x %d\n", i, *i);
    return 0;
}
```

i is allocated on the heap: Needs to be manually deleted.

Stack vs Heap

Consider the following C++ fragment:

```
int32_t *f(int32_t i) {
    int32_t r(i);
    return &r;
}
int main() {
    auto i = f(50);
    printf("%x %d\n", i, *i);
    return 0;
}
```

r is allocated on the stack: It's automatically deleted once out-of-scope

Stack vs Heap

Consider the following C++ fragment:

```
int32_t *f(int32_t i) {
    int32_t r[1000000000LL];
    return &r[0];
}
int main() {
    auto i = f(50);
    printf("%x %d\n", i, *i);
    return 0;
}
```

Stack is not infinite! By default, 8MB per thread on Linux

Smart Pointers

std::unique_ptr<T>

- ► Ties stack behavior to heap memory
- ► Movable, not copyable
- ► Calls deallocator when variable goes out of scope.

Smart Pointers

std::shared_ptr<T>

- ▶ unique_ptr with refcount
- Copyable (you can move it if you want)
- ightharpoonup Calls deallocator when the ref# == 0;

Kiraz/COOL

```
class Cons inherits List {
  xcar : Int;
  xcdr : List;
  isNil() : Bool { false };
  init(hd : Int, tl : List) : Cons {
      xcar <- hd;</pre>
      xcdr <- tl;</pre>
      self;
```

Future Work

- ► C++
- WebAssembly
- ► flex/bison